

# Eviction legislation should be rejected

State bill would hurt homeowners and prevent creation of affordable housing

BY KYLE STROBER  
AND MITCHELL PALLY  
Guest essay

Long Island is in desperate need of multifamily and affordable housing. No one on either side of the political aisle can reasonably challenge this stark reality but the issue is being held hostage by political extremists in Albany.

Much of their agenda is not only contrary to what centrists seek but is repugnant to many in our region, as it includes an anti-economic development agenda. That strategy killed off Amazon's plan for a corporate headquarters in Queens, denying the NYC-LI region 25,000 jobs — an economic loss that will be felt for generations.

Another example of this regressive-progressive agenda is the so-called "Good Cause Eviction" legislation. The bill would prevent individual property owners from increasing rent as they see fit to keep up with their own costs, eroding the basic rights of property ownership. The bill caps in-

creases at either 3% or one-and-a-half times the Consumer Price Index, whichever is higher. However, members of our respective organizations — many of whom are involved in building and maintaining housing all over Long Island — say costs associated with owning a rental property here have skyrocketed in the past few years, largely due to such rising costs as taxes, utilities, insurance, payroll, and maintenance.

Contrary to the belief of the bill's supporters, not all properties are owned by large corporations. Many — especially on Long Island — belong to people who grew up in their communities, lived in them for their whole lives, and who now use their homes as their primary source of income (as well as their primary residence).

These small owners would be decimated by a rental cap of this magnitude and many — unfortunately — would have no choice but to sell.

Equally draconian, "Good Cause Eviction" would only



The State Legislature's "Good Cause Eviction" bill would prevent individual property owners from increasing rent as they see fit.

permit property owners to evict or deny a lease renewal under very rare circumstances and only with a judge's approval. As long as someone continues to pay rent, they cannot be removed from the property. If that tenant is abusive or threatening, there is no recourse until they actually harm an individual.

Let's be clear: Eviction is extremely rare when a tenant pays the rent in full and on-

time, and is a good tenant. However, there are instances where a property owner needs to deny a lease renewal to make massive improvements to the property. "Good Cause Eviction" would rob a property owner of the right to do so. If that happens, apartments all over Long Island would eventually fall into disrepair, creating problems for landlords and tenants alike and reducing the already small inventory of

affordable housing in the region.

While we have made some progress in building multifamily housing, Long Island dramatically lags in diverse housing options compared to neighboring regions. As it stands, financial institutions are reluctant to invest in our region due to restrictive zoning codes, high taxes, NIMBYism, and the significant time and costs of securing municipal approvals.

The "Good Cause Eviction" bill would make attracting investment capital for housing nearly impossible and prevent creation of the multifamily and affordable housing everyone agrees is so desperately needed — grievously harming a new generation of Long Islanders who seek access to a robust and growing economy. Should state lawmakers continue to pass the agenda of the far left, election results on Long Island in 2022 could very well mimic 2021.

■ **THIS GUEST ESSAY** reflects the views of Kyle Strober, executive director of the Association for a Better Long Island, and Mitchell Pally, chief executive of the Long Island Builders Institute.



A reader cites reasons why the food waiver for children should be extended for the next school year.

said they'd give a discount for cash ["Advice on how to save money at the gas pump," News, March 9]. Some corporations raised prices because the

pandemic caused a revenue shortfall thus the need for higher prices, while some employees have been working from home, saving them

money. Now, some have added a surcharge to get relief from the impacts of COVID-19. I wonder how many of these businesses got a COVID bailout.

Who can I get a surcharge from to get relief for the higher prices I'm enduring?

— PAUL SPINA, CALVERTON

## Betting ads must end before it's too late

The NFL's announced banishment of a player for gambling is ludicrous ["Ridley's gamble returns a 1-year suspension," Sports, March 8]. Weekly games are barraged with advertisements urging viewers to make wagers, even as the games are progressing. Sports networks highlight game-time odds and offer websites where one can place a bet.

This advertising has to be nipped in the bud much like tobacco and, supposedly, alcohol was.

The long-term negative impact of the promotion of gambling can only be deleterious to those who participate, and, I would bet, will seriously impact the future of generations of our children.

— WILLIAM R. KEARNS, EAST QUOGUE

## Time to replace Nassau review system

Now is the time to replace Nassau County's subjective real estate assessment system with one that is based simply on personal income ["Phillips begins audit of Nassau reassessment," News, Feb. 16].

Anything is better than the current tax system. It seems that the county and its resi-

dents are constantly getting the short end of the stick with the annual shell game of "what's that house worth?"

The only people making out on the deal are those in the tax assessment challenge industry.

— JOHN NAPOLITANO, WILLISTON PARK

For seniors on a limited budget and newlyweds looking to buy a house, Nassau County is beyond reach due to the inflated taxes.

Nassau County Executive Bruce Blakeman won largely on his promise to lower taxes, and now it's time for him to follow through on that promise.

— ANGELO VETRANO, FLORAL PARK

■ **WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO JOIN OUR DAILY CONVERSATION.** Email your opinion on the issues of the day to letters@newsday.com. Submissions should be no more than 200 words. Please provide your full name, hometown, phone number and any relevant expertise or affiliation. Include the headline and date of the article you are responding to. Letters become the property of Newsday and are edited for all media. Due to volume, readers are limited to one letter in print every 45 days. Published letters reflect the ratio received on each topic.